

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,296	04/20/2004	Michael B. Zemel	31894-202098	2568	
26694 7590 12/18/2006 VENABLE LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3438	35		WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	. DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/18/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/827,296	ZEMEL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Edward J. Webman	1616			
The MA Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENE WHICHEVER I - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re; - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIES LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Demay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 THS from the mailing date of this communication. ply is specified above, the maximum statutory period thin the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 N</u>	lovember 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since thi	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Cla	uims					
4)⊠ Claim(s)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	·				
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Paper	's					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The draw	ing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant	may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath	or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	•	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftspo	ate					
 Information Discleration Paper No(s)/Mail 	Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/827,296

Art Unit: 1616

Prosecution is reopened in view of a new ground of rejection:

The election of species requirement over health problems filed 1/4/06 is withdrawn.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7, 10-14 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,384,087. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims encompass the scope of the instant claims regarding the amount of administered calcium.

Claims 1-7, 10-14 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5-6, 28-37, 41-44, 46-53, 55, 57, 59-64 of copending Application No. 10/066057. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other

Application/Control Number: 10/827,296

Art Unit: 1616

not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims encompass the scope of the copending claims regarding BMI value.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-7, 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, the penultimate line, do applicants intend "and" after "woman"? See claims 11 and 14. Appropriate correction is required.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Richter, can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/827,296

Art Unit: 1616

Page 4

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500